



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,688	07/19/2005	Gerhard Reich	23333	1619
535	7590	03/31/2008	EXAMINER	
K.F. ROSS P.C.			RONESI, VICKEY M	
5683 RIVERDALE AVENUE				
SUITE 203 BOX 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRONX, NY 10471-0900			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/542,688	REICH, GERHARD
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	VICKEY RONESI	1796

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .                                     |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ .   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ .                         |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claims 4-6 are objected to because of the following reasons:

With respect to claims 4-6, the term “the pyrogenic additive or additive” lacks full antecedent basis. Because it is clear to what this term refers (i.e., pyrogenic oxides), a 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection has not been made.

With respect to claim 5, line 2, “re” should be replaced with --are--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 5, the amount of pyrogenic oxide added to the binder is indefinite because it not made clear if the amount of 0.05-10 wt % is based on the binder alone or on the binder + pyrogenic oxide.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gray (WO 81/01857) in view of the evidence given by Schapel et al (US 4,029,593).

Gray discloses a coating powder mixed with hydrophobic silica aerogel in amounts of 0.5 to 3 parts y per hundred part resin (page 8, lines 1-11). A disclosed silica aerogel is Aerosil R 972 which is a material having a particle size of 5-50 nm and surfaces that have been made hydrophobic by reacting with an alkyl chlorosilane (page 2, lines 31-37). Note in example 1 the use of pigment and filler additives (page 3, lines 15-28).

Gray does not teach that Aerosil R 972 is pyrogenic silica or pyrogenic silicic acid.

Schapel teaches that Aerosil R 972 is a pyrogenic silicic acid (col. 6, lines 31-35).

In light of the disclosure of Gray and in view of the evidence given by Schapel regarding Aerosil R 972, it is clear that Gray anticipates the presently claimed invention.

### ***Conclusion***

4. The following references were cited on the International Search Report for PCT/AT2004/000011 (now cited by the examiner on PTO-892) but were not used in the prior rejections for the following reasons:

- DE 195 00 377 (US equivalent is US 5,475,046) discloses powder coating composition comprising polyester resin and silica and alumina particles having a primary diameter of 10 to 70 nm, however, these metal oxides are not disclosed to be pyrogenic as required by the instant claims.

- US 5,049,596 discloses an epoxy powder coating composition comprising microfine silica powder and even exemplifies Aerosil as a silica particle, however, it fails to teach if the Aerosil is a pyrogenic silica. While Aerosil can be pyrogenic silica, it is not necessarily pyrogenic.

- EP 1 359 173 discloses a powder coating composition but does not disclose a pyrogenically prepared metal oxide having the presently claimed particle sizes.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickey Ronesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2701. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

3/27/2008  
Vickey Ronesi

/Vickey Ronesi/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1796